

# No Child Left Behind (NCLB) School Report: 2006-07 School Year



## Regional School District 13

### John Lyman School



The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001 requires a statewide school accountability system. As part of this system, school districts receiving federal dollars based on the percentage of district students in poverty (referred to as Title I funds) must prepare and disseminate annual accountability reports for each school in the district. In Connecticut, the reports for elementary and middle schools present the performance of students in mathematics and reading on the Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), as well as information about the qualifications of teachers based on the federal definition of "highly qualified"

#### Adequate Yearly Progress

The state is required to determine annually if every school is making adequate yearly progress (AYP) toward reaching the goal, by 2014, of having 100 percent of its students scoring at or above the Proficient level in mathematics and reading on the CMT. For a school to have made AYP, the following criteria must have been met by all students in the school and by each subgroup (major racial and ethnic groups, students in poverty, students with disabilities and English language learners): (1) 95 percent participation on both the mathematics and reading CMT; (2) achievement of the AYP target percentage at or above Proficient in mathematics and reading on the CMT; and (3) achievement of the AYP target for an additional academic indicator, 70 percent at or above Basic on the writing subtest of the CMT or improvement from the previous year. If a school does not make AYP for two consecutive years, it will be identified as "in need of improvement." More information about AYP can be found on Page 2 of this report.

**Adequate Yearly Progress Status for John Lyman School = Achieved**

#### CMT Two Year Trend Data: % At or Above Proficient

Subject	Grade 3		Grade 4		Grade 5		Grade 6		Grade 7		Grade 8	
	2005-06	2006-07	2005-06	2006-07	2005-05	2006-07	2005-06	2006-07	2005-06	2006-07	2005-06	2006-07
Mathematics	89	94	88	92	No Students in Grade	No Students in Grade	No Students in Grade	No Students in Grade	No Students in Grade	No Students in Grade	No Students in Grade	No Students in Grade
Reading	82	91	89	90	No Students in Grade	No Students in Grade	No Students in Grade	No Students in Grade	No Students in Grade	No Students in Grade	No Students in Grade	No Students in Grade

#### Contents of NCLB School Report:

Page 2: Adequate Yearly Progress Data

Page 3: Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT) Achievement Data

Page 4: Qualifications of Teachers Teaching in the Core Academic Areas



# Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Status Data for the 2006-07 School Year



Based on the spring 2007 Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT)

## Regional School District 13, John Lyman School

The table below shows this school's performance on the AYP indicators. A "No" under the AYP Target Met column indicates an area in which the AYP criteria was not met. Only students who were enrolled in this school for the full academic year were included in these calculations.

Subgroup*	Participation Rate (95 % participation needed)**							% At or Above Proficient			
	Mathematics			Reading			AYP Target Met?	Mathematics (74 % proficient needed)		Reading (68 % proficient needed)	
	Current	2 Year Avg.	3 Year Avg.	Current	2 Year Avg.	3 Year Avg.		AYP Calculation	AYP Target Met?	AYP Calculation	AYP Target Met?
<b>Whole School</b> (n = 141)	100	100	100	100	100	100	Yes	98	Yes	96.7	Yes
<b>American Indian</b> (n = 0)	Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup							Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup		Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup	
<b>Asian American</b> (n = 1)	Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup							Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup		Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup	
<b>Black</b> (n = 2)	Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup							Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup		Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup	
<b>Hispanic</b> (n = 2)	Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup							Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup		Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup	
<b>White</b> (n = 136)	100	100	100	100	100	100	Yes	97.9	Yes	96.6	Yes
<b>Students with Disabilities</b> (n = 12)	Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup							Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup		Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup	
<b>English Language Learners</b> (n = 1)	Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup							Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup		Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup	
<b>Economically Disadvantaged</b> (n = 4)	Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup							Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup		Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup	
<b>Additional Academic Indicator: Writing, 70 % At or Above Basic, or Annual Improvement</b>								<b>AYP Target Met?</b>		<b>Yes</b>	

\* It is possible for a subgroup to be of sufficient size (40 or greater) for the calculation of the participation rate, but not of sufficient size (fewer than 40) for the calculation of the percent at or above proficient. This is due to the omission of absent students from the calculation of the percent at or above proficient. If a school does not have the required 95 percent participation with 40 or more students, it will not have made AYP, regardless of the subgroup size for the percent at or above proficient calculation.

\*\* For any school or subgroup that did not meet the 95 percent participation rate criterion, a two- and three-year average participation rate using 2006, 2004, and 2003 CMT is calculated. If the two-year or three-year average was greater than the current participation rate, it was used for the AYP analysis.

# 2007 Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT) Achievement Data

## John Lyman School

NCLB requires assessment data to be reported by different achievement levels. The table below shows the percentage of students scoring at or above the Basic, Proficient, and Advanced levels on the spring 2007 CMT. The numbers on this page were calculated only for groups of 20 or more. The percentage at or above Proficient will not be the same number as on the previous page. The number on the previous page is based on a federally approved AYP calculation used to analyze the adequate yearly progress of schools and districts. In addition, it includes only those students who were in the school for a full academic year; the number on this page includes all students, regardless of their length of time in the school.

	Math						Reading						Writing
	Total # Students	# Students Tested in Subject	% Students Tested in Subject	% of Students Scoring At or Above			Total # Students	# Students Tested in Subject	% Students Tested in Subject	% of Students Scoring At or Above			% of Students Scoring At or Above Basic
				Basic	Proficient	Advanced				Basic	Proficient	Advanced	
Whole School	141	141	100	98	93	30	141	141	100	95	91	26	99
<b>Subgroup Achievement</b>													
American Indian	0	0	Fewer than 20 students in this subgroup				0	0	Fewer than 20 students in this subgroup				
Asian American	1	1	Fewer than 20 students in this subgroup				1	1	Fewer than 20 students in this subgroup				
Black	2	2	Fewer than 20 students in this subgroup				2	2	Fewer than 20 students in this subgroup				
Hispanic	2	2	Fewer than 20 students in this subgroup				2	2	Fewer than 20 students in this subgroup				
White	136	136	100	98	93	32	136	136	100	95	90	25	99
Students with Disabilities	12	12	Fewer than 20 students in this subgroup				12	12	Fewer than 20 students in this subgroup				
English Language Learners	1	1	Fewer than 20 students in this subgroup				1	1	Fewer than 20 students in this subgroup				
Economically Disadvantaged	4	4	Fewer than 20 students in this subgroup				4	4	Fewer than 20 students in this subgroup				
Male	64	64	100	95	91	39	64	64	100	91	89	30	98
Female	77	77	100	97	92	23	77	77	100	96	90	22	100
District Achievement	1067	1065	100	95	90	33	1067	1066	100	90	84	25	97
State Achievement	259681	258542	100	92	82	27	259683	258351	100	83	74	19	92

## John Lyman School

**Table 1. General School Information**

These data are provided to describe your school because the NCLB law requires that poor or minority students are not taught by inexperienced, unqualified, or out-of-field teachers at a higher rate than other students. In the CSDE teacher quality analysis, each public school was assigned to a quartile based on the percentage of students in poverty, the percentage of minority students, and the percentage of teachers with two or less years of experience. The state percentage of inexperienced teachers is 16.6 while the percentage in high poverty/minority schools is approximately 22. The percentage in low poverty/minority schools is approximately 15.

Is this school in the high poverty quartile?:	No
Is this school in the low poverty quartile?:	Yes
Is this school in the high minority quartile?:	No
Is this school in the low minority quartile?:	Yes
Is this school in the high inexperience quartile?:	No
Is this school in the low inexperience quartile?:	Yes
School Percent Poverty:	2.4
School Percent Minority:	3.8
Percent of Teachers 2 or less years of experience:	4.5

**Table 2. General Teacher and Class Information**

These data are your highly qualified and not highly qualified teacher FTEs as well as the number of classes taught by highly qualified and not highly qualified teachers.

Total Teacher FTE:	21.9
Highly Qualified Teacher FTE:	21.9
Not Highly Qualified FTE:	0
Total Number of Classes:	40
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers:	40
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers:	0

**Table 3. School Classes Taught by Highly and Not Highly Qualified Teachers**

Percent of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		Percent of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	
2005-06	2006-07	2005-06	2006-07
100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

**Table 4. Connecticut Classes Taught by Highly and Not Highly Qualified Teachers**

	Percent of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		Percent of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	
	2005-06	2006-07	2005-06	2006-07
State Overall	96.8	98.0	3.2	2.0
State High Poverty Schools	93.4	95.9	6.6	4.1
State Low Poverty Schools	98.1	98.9	1.9	1.1
State High Minority Schools	93.1	95.8	4.2	4.2
State Low Minority Schools	97.9	98.6	1.4	1.4